

Ranking Scales for CoC Projects – FY 2019 South Central Illinois CoC (IL-515)

NOTES:

1. Data is taken from SAGE APRs unless otherwise noted. SAGE APR reports are generated for all projects for a 12-month period ending in the most recently completed calendar quarter.
2. Numbers and percentages are not rounded.

RANKING FACTORS FOR RENEWAL PROJECTS	Maximum Points
<p>1. Needs and Vulnerabilities</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Percentage of participants who entered the project with high barriers.</p> <p>CALCULATION:</p> <p>For RRH Projects, there are three factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At or above average of all RRH projects in percentage of adult participants with zero income at entry (from item Q16) • At or above average of all RRH projects in percentage of adult participants with more than one condition at entry (Q13a2) • At or above average of all RRH projects in percentage of adult participants who entered project from place not meant for human habitation (Q15) <p>For PSH projects, there are three factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At or above average of all PSH projects in percentage of adult participants with zero income at entry (from item Q16) • At or above average of all PSH projects in percentage of adult participants with more than one condition at entry (Q13a2) • At or above average of all PSH projects in percentage of adult participants who entered project from place not meant for human habitation (Q15) <p>SCALE:</p> <p>Projects meeting all three factors = 5 points Projects meeting two factors = 3 points Projects meeting one factor = 1 point Projects meeting zero factors = 0 points</p>	5
<p>2. Chronic Homelessness</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Percentage of participants who were chronically homeless at entry.</p> <p>CALCULATION: Number of chronically homeless adults divided by total number of adults (from item Q05a).</p> <p>SCALE:</p> <p>Highest percentage among all projects = 3 points Second highest percentage = 2 points All other projects serving any chronically homeless = 1 point Projects serving no chronically homeless = 0 points</p> <p>Tied projects receive full score, and the next highest project receives the score for the next level. For example, if two projects tie for highest percentage, they both get 3 points, and the project(s) with the next highest percentage gets 2 points.</p>	3

<p style="text-align: center;">RANKING FACTORS FOR RENEWAL PROJECTS</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Maximum Points</p>
<p>3. Housing Stability</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: The percentage of participants remaining in or exiting to permanent housing.</p> <p>CALCULATION: The number of stayers in permanent housing, including RRH, at the end of the year (from item Q05a), plus the number who exited to permanent housing destinations during the year (from items Q23a and Q23b); divided by the total number of persons (Q05a)</p> <p>SCALE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100% = 5 points 90-99% = 4 points 80-89% = 3 points 70-79% = 2 points 60-69% = 1 point Less than 60% = 0 points 	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p>
<p>4. Housing First</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Housing First Self-Assessment score (WE WILL POST QUESTIONNAIRES ON THE CoC WEBSITE)</p> <p>SCALE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 170-180 = 5 points 160-169 = 4 points 150-159 = 3 points 140-149 = 2 points 130-139 = 1 point Under 130 = 0 points 	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p>
<p>5. Income Increases</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Percentage of adults who increased any income.</p> <p>CALCULATION: From item Q19a3, line 6 (any income). Number of adults in column 8, divided by total adults (column 7).</p> <p>SCALE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 60% or more = 5 points 45-59% = 4 points 30-44% = 3 points 15-29% = 2 points 1-14% = 1 points 	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p>
<p>6. APR Submission</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: If APR submitted within 90 days of project end date, as reported by grantees.</p> <p>SCALE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> APR submitted within 90 days of project end date = 3 points 91 or more days = 0 points Projects in their first year of operation for whom APR is not due by time of ranking will receive 3 points. 	<p style="text-align: center;">3</p>

RANKING FACTORS FOR RENEWAL PROJECTS	Maximum Points
<p>7. Spending</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Percentage of funds expended at end of project term, as reported by grantees.</p> <p>SCALE: Project spent 100% of grant funds in most recent completed year = 5 points 90-99% = 3 points 80-89% = 2 points 70-79% = 1 points Less than 70% = 0 points</p>	5
<p>8. Data Quality and Security</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Accuracy and completeness of HMIS client data, and security measures to protect client data</p> <p>CALCULATION: (1) Percentage of errors in 12 HMIS data fields (6 from Q06a, 5 from Q06b, and 1 from Q06c/Exits on line 4): a. Universe for Q06a and Q06b is Q05a, line 1 (total # served) b. Universe for Q06c/Exits is Q05a, line 6 (# adult leavers) (2) Completion of HMIS security checklist.</p> <p>SCALE: Up to 2 points for percentage errors in HMIS client data fields: 0.00% error rate = 2 points 0.01% to 0.99% error rate = 1 point 1.00% and above error rate = 0 points</p> <p>Up to 2 points for HMIS questionnaire: Follow all Core Elements plus at least 1 Advanced Element = 2 points Follow all Core Elements = 1 point Does not follow all Core Elements = 0 points</p>	4
<p>9. Type of Project</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Incentives for projects that provide permanent housing or meet critical local needs.</p> <p>SOURCE: Most recent project application. PSH = 5 points RRH = 3 points HMIS and SSO/Coordinated Entry = 2 points All other projects = 0 points</p>	5
<p>10. SOAR Training</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: As reported by grantees.</p> <p>SCALE: Staff of grantee or designated referral agency completed SOAR training in past 24 months = 1 point Staff of grantee or designated referral agency did not complete SOAR training in past 24 months = 0 points</p>	1
MAXIMUM TOTAL POINTS	41

Tie Breakers

If two or more projects receive the exact same score, the tie(s) will be broken as follows:

- Tiebreaker #1 – **Funds available per person by region.** We will compute this as follows: Take the total CoC and ESG funds currently available in each region, and divide it by the PIT count for the region. Projects in the region with the smaller amount of funds available per person win the tie. If projects are still tied because they are in the same region, we will move to Tiebreaker #2.
- Tiebreaker #2 - **Number of beds.** The project with the greater number of beds (from Project Application section 4B) wins the tie. If projects are still tied because they have the same number of beds, we will move to Tiebreaker #3.
- Tiebreaker #3 – **Budget.** The project with the higher total budget (from Project Application section 6I) wins the tie.

			Needs and Vulnerabilities	Chronic Homelessness	Housing Stability	Housing First	Income	APR Submission	Spending	Data Quality and Security	Type of Project	SOAR Training	Total
<u>Grantee</u>	<u>Project</u>	Max Points →	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	1	41
C.E.F.S.	PSH												
C.E.F.S.	RRH												
ERBA	Permanent Housing												
ERBA	Rapid Rehousing												
IVEDC	Rapid Rehousing												

<p style="text-align: center;">SPECIAL RANKING FACTORS FOR FIRST-TIME RENEWAL PROJECTS WITH LESS THAN 12 MONTHS HISTORY</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Maximum Points</p>
<p>1. Chronic homelessness</p> <p>WHAT: Housing for persons who are chronically homeless.</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Percentage of all beds that are designated or prioritized for chronically homeless.</p> <p>SOURCE: First-year project application (item 4B).</p> <p>SCALE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100% of beds dedicated or prioritized = 5 points 90-99% = 4 points 80-89% = 3 points 70-79% = 2 points 60-69% = 1 point Less than 60% = 0 points 	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p>
<p>2. Housing First</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Housing First Self-Assessment score</p> <p>SCALE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 170-180 = 5 points 160-169 = 4 points 150-159 = 3 points 140-149 = 2 points 130-139 = 1 point Under 130 = 0 points 	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p>
<p>3. Vulnerabilities</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Types of vulnerabilities that are prioritized</p> <p>SOURCE: First year project application, Item 3B(4)</p> <p>SCALE: Up to 4 points maximum.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chronically homeless – 2 points • Veterans – 1 points • Youth (under 25) – 1 point • Families with children – 1 point • Domestic violence – 1 point • Substance use disorders – 2 points • Mental illness – 2 points • HIV-AIDS – 1 point 	<p style="text-align: center;">4</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">SPECIAL RANKING FACTORS FOR FIRST-TIME RENEWAL PROJECTS WITH LESS THAN 12 MONTHS HISTORY</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Maximum Points</p>
<p>4. Data quality and security</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Completion of HMIS security checklist.</p> <p>SOURCE: Questionnaire.</p> <p>SCALE: Follow all Core Elements plus at least 1 Advanced Element = 2 points Follow all Core Elements = 1 point Does not follow all Core Elements = 0 points</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">2</p>
<p>5. Type of project</p> <p>WHAT: Type of project (e.g., SSO, TH, RRH, PSH, HMIS).</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Incentives for projects that provide permanent housing or meet critical local needs, based on scale to be created.</p> <p>SOURCE: First year project application Items 3a(5) and 3b(4). PSH = 5 points RRH and Joint TH-RRH = 3 points HMIS and SSO/Coordinated Entry = 2 points All other projects = 0 points</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p>
<p>6. SOAR training</p> <p>WHAT: Participation in SOAR training.</p> <p>HOW MEASURED: Did grantee staff, or staff of designated referral agency, attend SOAR training in past 24 months?</p> <p>SOURCE: Grantee.</p> <p>SCALE: Staff of grantee or designated referral agency completed SOAR training in past 24 months = 1 point Staff of grantee or designated referral agency did not complete SOAR training in past 24 months = 0 points</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">MAXIMUM TOTAL POINTS</p>	
<p style="text-align: right;">20</p>	

Adjustment Factor:

The initial scores for first-time renewal projects are multiplied by the maximum total points of the Renewal Project scales divided by the maximum total points of the First-time Renewal project scale. (Adjusted Score = Initial Score X 41/20). The effect of this is that the highest-scoring first-time renewal projects will land in the middle of the final rankings, and lower-scoring first-time renewals will be in the bottom half.

NEW PROJECTS:

All new projects that are eligible for HUD funding and pass threshold reviews are ranked at the bottom, listed in order of bed capacity.

Selection & Ranking for Projects Submitted by Victim Services Providers

Restrictions are in place that protect privacy and foster safety for victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, and human trafficking. These restrictions prohibit the sharing of data that is used to select and rank projects that are submitted by organizations that do not serve victims of these crimes.

Therefore, the CoC Monitoring, Review, and Ranking Committee has developed this alternate system of selecting and ranking projects submitted by victim service providers.

Project Selection

The Monitoring, Review, and Ranking Committee selects projects submitted by victim services providers based on the following criteria:

1. Projects and applicants must meet threshold requirements as required by HUD and the CoC.
2. Projects must serve eligible populations.
3. Projects must be eligible for funding as new or renewal projects, as defined by HUD for the specific CoC competition year.
4. Project expenditures must consist of eligible costs.
5. Projects must adhere to principles of victim safety in all policies and practices, including at a minimum (a) victim-centered services, (b) client choice, and (3) protection of individual data.

Projects meeting the above criteria are selected and ranked.

Ranking Process

The Monitoring, Review, and Ranking Committee reviews projects submitted by victim services providers and assigns them to rankings in the CoC Project Priority Listing. It takes the following factors into consideration:

- Demonstration of need
- Demonstration of ability to address the need effectively
- Number of persons served
- Use of research-based practices
- Realistic and achievable goals
- Cost per client
- Internal evaluations conducted by or for the grantee
- Positive feedback on client satisfaction surveys
- Positive relationships with local networks, including (a) health care; (b) law enforcement and criminal justice; (c) CoC; (d) human services; (e) advocacy groups; and (f) education
- Standing with state and national associations
- Other factors relevant to the type of project proposed

After considering the above factors, the Monitoring, Review, and Ranking Committee places each project in appropriate order in the CoC rankings.

APPEAL PROCESS:

Applicants wishing to appeal a project's ranking must file a written appeal with the Chair of the Monitoring, Review & Ranking Committee within 5 calendar days of the Board approval of the rankings. The appeal shall state the reason for the appeal and the action desired by the Applicant to resolve the problem. The Monitoring, Review & Ranking Committee shall make a ruling on the appeal, and the Committee's ruling shall be final. The Committee shall inform all Applicants and the Board of the final decision as soon as possible.